Serial No.: 09/866,248 Filed: May 25, 2001

Page 11

<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 183-191 are pending in the subject application. By this amendment, applicants have amended the specification to update the continuing are and claims 183, 184, 186, 190 and 191. Accordingly, upon entry of this Amendment states 183-191, as amended, will be pending and under examination.

Applicants maintain that the amendments to the raise no issue et new matter. Support for the amendments to claims 183, 184 may be found inter alia in the specification as originally filed on page **⊯ine** 6-13; and page 82, line 24 through page 83, line 3. In a us examples are given regarding CAMP) Formation receptor activation assays. Specific mple Acid Release Assay (page 83, lines 21 through Assay (page 83, lines 5-19); Arachid LAssay (page page 84, line 4); GTPyS Function 29 through page 86, line 21) and MAP Kinase Assay (page. /line 23 thថ្ងៃ age 87, line 1). Support for the amendments to claim 186 , found inter alia in the specification as originally filed on 13; page 13, line 15-30; page 48, line 28 through

tfully request entry of this Amendment.

Rejection Under 35 USC First Paragraph

On page 25the March 24 2005 Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 183-191 under 35 USG 2 (its figaragraph. The Examiner alleged that while the specification is enabling for methods of preparation of compositions which are agonists or antagonists of the mammalian NPFF receptor of SEQ ID NO: 6, wherein the compound causes an increase (or decrease) in the level of inward chloride current or inositol phosphate release, the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for methods of preparation of

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Serial No.: 09/866,248 Filed: May 25, 2001

Page 12

compositions which are agonists or antagonists of the mammalian NPFF receptor of SEQ ID NO: 6 wherein the compound causes an increase (or decrease) of NPFF receptor activity. The Examiner further alleged that the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is the specific connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with the selections.

The Examiner acknowledged that the specific aration of ceptor in SEQ compositions which are agonists or antagonists, agse) in the level of inward ID NO: 6, wherein the compound causes an increase chloride current or inositol phosphate release The Examine er acknowledged that the specification outlines art-recognize for measបីតីពីg other activities, but alleged that this is not adequate guidi če as of the activities that may be measured. The Examiner then concluded that undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to make and house the claimed invention in its full scope.

In response, in an attendition as an attendition as a second of the captioned application but without conceding either the precises of the Examiner's position or the need for amendment applicant shave amended beings 183, 184 and 190 to recite "NPFF receptor activation."

Applicants maintain that that the phrase "receptor activation" is well known to the skilled artisant in sight of the disclosure which teaches a receptor belonging to the class of G-protein coupled is explored. (SPCRs), "receptor activation" refers to the activation of a second messenger system within a cell.

See the "Background of the Invention" on page 1 of the instant specification which recites "G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) represent a major class of cell surface receptors with which many neurotransmitters interact to mediate their effects. GPCRs are

Serial No.: 09/866,248 Filed: May 25, 2001

Page 13

characterized by seven membrane-spanning domains and are coupled to their effectors via G-proteins linking receptor activation with intracellular biochemical sequelae...".

Applicants maintain that intracellular biochemicals are properly by second messenger assays known to those of ordinary skill in the elevant are replicants maintain that one would know how to practice the invention pased on the instantisant saints and the many examples of second messenger assays described in a specification.

MPEP §2164.08 recites:

"Claims are not rejected as Greader than in Enabling disclosure under 35 U.S.C. In a princlusion of infiltations dealing with factors which in its to present to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art; the dains field not recite such factors where one of ordinary skill in the latter to whom the specification and claims are directed while consider them obvious. In re Skill in 12 and 166 USPQ 85, 88 (CCPA 1970), one does not look to the claims but to the specification to the control of the claims but to the specification to the control of the claims but to the specification of the claims but to the specification of the claims but to the specification to the specification of the claims but to the claims but

Applicants maintain that claims 33, 191, as amended, are enabled under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraphian direspectfully request at the Examiner reconsider and withdraw this rejections.

Rejection Under 35 USC \$4112 Second Paragraph

On page 101 re March 2005 Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 183-191 under 35 USC 12 second paragraph for allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinct saim the subject matter the application regards as the invention.

The Examiner specifically alleged that claims 183-191 are vague and indefinite in the recitation of the term "NPFF receptor activity". In response, in an attempt to advance the

Serial No.: 09/866,248 Filed: May 25, 2001

Page 14

prosecution of the captioned application but without conceding either the correctness of the Examiner's position or the need for amendment, Applicants have amended claims 183, 184 and 190 to recite "NPFF receptor activation". Applicants maintain that the phrase "receptor activation" is a term well known to the skilled artisan by the field of G coupled-protein receptors (GPCRs) as discussed hereing the with specific the rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

The Examiner further alleged that there is insufficient intercedent basis for the limitation "the first" in subsection (a) of claim 186. In response in the attempt to advance the prosecution of the captioned application but the correctness of the Examiner's position or the need for an examiner applicants have amended claim 186 to recite "a first".

The Examiner also rejected saim 186 under 35 LSC. 112, second paragraph, for allegedly being incomplete to smitting essential steps. In response, in an attempt to advance the prosecution or the saptioned application but without conceding either the correctness of the Examiners position or the need for amendment, Applicants have amended carmined to be the second chemical compound is known to bind a manifold in NPFF received.

The Examiner further alleged that there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "the manifician NPFF receptor" in subsection (a) of claims 183-191. In response, Applicants applicants the first two lines of subsection (a) of claim 183-191 each recite "a mammalian NPFF receptor" when the term is first introduced. It is only in later repetitions of the claim that the term "the mammalian NPFF receptor" is utilized. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that this portion of the rejection be withdrawn.

Accordingly, Applicants maintain that the aforementioned amendments and remarks

Serial No.: 09/866,248 Filed: May 25, 2001

Page 15

obviate the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn.

Information Disclosure Statements

In a January 4, 2005 teleconference with Examiner Murphy the state hat Applicants have not received an initialed version of the PTO-14-9 conscorresponding to the information Disclosure Statements filed on May 25, 2001 (1526) and July 9, 2007 (1 page) was discussed. The Examiner assured Applicants that the release had been considered and made of record and that the initialed PTO-14-9 forms will be sent with the next communication.

Applicants note that the initialed PTO-1448 Forms leave still not been returned to Applicants. In order to expedite the prosecution of the captioned application, we have downloaded a copy of each of the PTO-1449 forms returned above. It is requested that the Examiner consider the laboration and that a copy of each of the enclosed Forms be initialed and returned indicating that such information has been considered. Applications respectively request that the Examiner make the cited references of record in

Christophe P.G. Gerald, et al. Serial No.: 09/866,248

Filed: May 25, 2001

Page 16

Summary

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants maintain that the claims pending in this application are in condition for allowance is respectfully requested.

If a telephone conference would be of assistance in advancing prosessing of the subject application, applicants' undersigned attorney invites in Examiner to telephone the number provided below.

No fee, other than the fee for a two-right extension of time, is deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this Amendment. However, pany additional fee is required, authorization is hereby given to charge the amount of such the Deposit Account No. 50-3201.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. Lundbeck Research USA, Inc. 215 College Road Paramus, New Jersey 07652 (201) 261-1331 (phone) (201) 986-9106 (fax)

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
_

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.